

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | ·FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/705,171 | | 11/05/2003 | lan Keith Taylor | 63006(52410) | 7731 |
| 21874 | 7590 | 01/26/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| EDWARDS | S & ANG | ELL, LLP | BROWN, PETER R | | |
| P.O. BOX 55 BOSTON, M | | 95 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3636 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/705,171 | TAYLOR, IAN KEITH | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Peter R. Brown | 3636 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | - | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3636

The amendment filed October 28, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The figure 1f, in depicting a back support portion formed of flat planar members, is not supported. While the base has been disclosed as being rectangular, the back supporting portion may be curved or arcuate, as shown in the other figures, and to depict the back supporting portion as being formed of flat planar members, is considered new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rectangular base, as set forth in claim 7, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from

Art Unit: 3636

the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Fahey or Holland in view of Siekman et al.

Fahey (figures 1 and 2) shows a housing apparatus as claimed, including a circular base portion with first and second 13 surfaces, and a back portion 11,12 extending upwardly therefrom and wrapping around the base portion more that 180 degrees. Note that the second surface 13 is generally concave with a lower central portion.

Art Unit: 3636

Holland (figures 1-4) shows a housing apparatus as claimed, including a base portion with upper 34 and lower 26 surfaces, a back portion 20 extending upwardly therefrom an wrapping around the base portion, wherein the back tapers downwardly from a maximum height. The base portion includes "feet members" 30 extending between the lower surface and the floor.

To have utilized a flowable cushion for the supports of Fahey or Holland, for additional comfort, would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, as such is shown to be conventional by Siekman et al (fig. 1). Note that Siekman (col. 3, lines 50-58) teaches that the fill material may be made up of plurality of hollow glass spheres.

The use of attachment means between the cushion and the base to prevent inadvertent slipping thereon is also suggested by Siekman et al and would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art. Note that the term "Velcro" in claim 38, is improper as it is a registered trademark.

The shape and material of the small objects in the fill material is considered to be a matter of design choice as such are old and well known in the art as evident in the cited references that follow this rejection.

The shape of the base is considered to be a matter of design choice.

Applicant's arguments filed October 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3636

Contrary to applicant's arguments, the patent to Siekman et al does disclose a fill material containing a plurality of small objects. As set forth above, the material and shape of these objects is considered a matter of design choice, as fill material formed of both natural and synthetic, regular and irregular shape, is well known in the art as evident in the newly cited references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wiele, VanWinkle et al, Day and Jusiak et al show various types of fill material for cushions, including both natural and synthetic material.

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter R. Brown

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb